

STOKE BY NAYLAND PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Stoke by Nayland Parish Council Planning Committee meeting held at
The Village Hall, Stoke by Nayland on Tuesday 13 October 2015 at 7.00 pm

Present: S Bezencenet, J Bloomfield, V Klimowicz, I Reece and A Sedgwick (Chair)
In attendance: A Robinson (Clerk)

1. Apologies for Absence

There were none.

2. Declarations of Interest in respect of items included on this agenda

There were none.

3. Planning Applications - To consider planning applications received

3.1. B/15/01315 Drift Cottage, School Street CO6 4QT – Fell 2 no Cupressus trees, 1 no Irish Yew Tree, reduce 1 no Cherry tree by 30-35%, reduce 1 no Purple Prune tree by 15% - all in favour.

3.2. B/15/01324 Scotland Place Barn, Scotland Street CO6 4QG – Erection of detached single storey ancillary outbuilding, conversion of existing integral garage to form additional residential accommodation, single storey side extension, insertion of dormer to rear elevation, insertion of conservation roof light to front elevation, internal/external alterations to existing (modern) extension – no objections.

3.3. B/15/01271 Postboys, Polstead Street CO6 4SA – extension and conversion of existing outbuilding (after removal of existing outbuildings). Erection of shed and oil, bin and log outbuilding (and LBC). The Planning Committee resolved to object to the size of the extension on the grounds of its effect on the conservation area, in particular in relation to the views of the church from the street.

4. Planning Decisions - To note planning decisions received since the agenda was issued

4.1. B/15/00837 Wasses Farmhouse, Higham Marsh CO6 4SU – erection of single storey and two storey extensions (and LBC) – approved.

4.2. B/15/00874/LBC – Thatchers Cottage, Scotland Street CO6 4QE – structural repairs of roof following fire damage – approved.

4.3. B/15/01055/TCA – 4 The Downs CO6 4QR – removal of 3 no Sycamore trees – approved.

4.4. B/15/01195 – The Gynsills, Scotland Street CO6 4QE – fell 1 no Walnut tree – approved.

5. BDC paper on Planning Together and Community Engagement

The Clerk was asked to find out details of the groups referred to in order to establish which other parishes Stoke by Nayland would be expected to work with. The Committee will then consider what needs to be done in order to keep engaged with the Local Plan.

6. Dedham Vale AONB and Stour Valley Management Plan 2015-2020

The Committee noted that it had received an acknowledgement of its input into the consultation, which emphasised that by law management plans for AONBs need to be plans for the relevant functions of the Local Authorities for the area, not just for the staff group for the particular AONB. V Klimowicz suggested that A Sedgwick should discuss this further with J Finch.

7. Any Other Planning Matters

7.1. V Klimowicz said that she had received further complaints about the container in the front garden of 14 Sudbury Road, next to the Focus School. The Clerk had written to BDC planning control following the July meeting but had received no reply. She will chase this up, copying in the District Councillor.

7.2. J Bloomfield raised the issue of vehicles from Boxford (Suffolk) Farms trucking in large quantities of waste for their digester from outside. Klimowicz said that during 5 and 6 October over 100 vehicles went through causing many problems. Part of the problem also involved transport of sugar beet to British Sugar Corporation by HGVs. A letter was read out from local resident Lucy Campbell regarding the issue and many other complaints had been received. V Klimowicz had contacted Boxford (Suffolk) Farms and their manager Robert England phoned and visited her to discuss the problem. He and the contractor both apologised. Robert England said that next time they would make sure that they would discuss with the contractor and look at alternative routes. They would also look at using one way systems. They would let residents between Cli Bush and Blunden's Corner know in advance if the maize tractors and trailers had to use this route.

A haulier for BSC had been in touch saying that he was paid for the shortest legal route. V Klimowicz had also spoken to the Deputy Manager at BSC who is looking into the issue and will come back to her. The haulier said that he did not see any weight restriction signs and J Finch agreed to supply further information on this. He has also received a phone call about the issue and will speak to those involved.

At A Sedgwick's suggestion, the Committee resolved to to the planning authority asking them to specify the conditions imposed in relation to the Boxford (Suffolk) Farms digester and what the authority can do in planning terms. This matter should be on the next agenda.

There being no further business the Chairman closed the meeting at 7.43 pm.

Chairman

Date